COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS State Building Code Appeals Board Docket No. 05-391

BOARD'S RULING ON APPEAL

All hearings are audio recorded. The digital recording (which is on file at the office of the Board of Building Regulations and Standards) serves as the official record of the hearing. Copies of the recording are available from the Board for a fee of \$10.00 per copy. Please make requests for copies in writing and attach a check made payable to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts for the appropriate fee. Requests may be addressed to:

Patricia Barry, Coordinator State Building Code Appeals Board BBRS/Department of Public Safety One Ashburton Place - Room 1301 Boston, MA 02108

Harold Cutler)
	Appellant,)
v.)
City of Boston and Luis Santana Appellees)

Procedural History

This matter came before the State Building Code Appeals Board ("the Board") on the Appellant's appeal filed pursuant to 780 CMR 122.1. In accordance with 780 CMR 122.3, Appellant asks the Board to grant a variance from 780 CMR, Section 924 of the Massachusetts State Building Code ("MSBC") relative to building fire pumps for the property of 2420 Beacon St., Boston, MA. In accordance with MGL c. 30A, §§ 10 and 11; MGL c. 143, §100; 801 CMR 1.02 et. Seq.; and 780 CMR 122.3.4, the Board convened a public hearing on April 5, 2007 where all interested parties were provided with an opportunity to testify and present evidence to the Board.

Present and representing himself was the Appellant, Harold Cutler. Also present were Mohammed Zade and Dana Angelo.

Decision: Following testimony, and based upon relevant information provided, Board members voted to grant a to 780 CMR, Section 924 and 924.1 as indicated below. It was noted that the variance was granted in consideration of a hardship to the owner to remove an existing 6" water line to install an 8" main if the variance were not granted.

XXXGranted	🗆 Denied	□Rende	ered Interpretation			
□Granted with condition	ons (see below)	□Dismi	issed			
The vote was:						
XXXUnanimous		□ Majo	ority			
Reasons for Variance:						
Testimony was presented re-	lative to a variance requ	est on 780 CM	R 924.0 and 924.1.			
780 CMR. The building is ed Appellant noted that it is	quipped with three stand unlikely that three s	dpipes (see exh tandpipes wo	eferenced property. An 8" main is required by aibit 1 which summarizes the test results). The uld ever be required in a fire emergency. nent was considered reasonable.			
operated at maximum allow	able discharge to determ xhibit 2). When tested f	nine its accepta for "practical co	4.2.7.2.2 indicates that "the fire pump shall be ince. This reduced capacity shall not constitute ompliance" exhibit 1 shows that the actual test			
Stan Shuman. As part of the and the Boston Fire Depart required by both of ISD and that the system, as install	ne motion, it was noted tment (BFD) did not o d BFD. Additionally, it led, would function a	that City of B ppose the gra- was noted tha s intended by	t the variance. The motion was seconded by soston Inspectional Services Department (ISD) nting of a variance as evidenced documents it credible evidence was submitted to indicate provisions of 780 and related standards. tall a 8" main if the variance were not granted			
The following members vote Fluin Hale fb Chairman – Brain Gale	ed in the above manner Stanley Shuma	uman&)	July Nunnemacher Jake Nunnemacher			
A complete administrative record is on file at the office of the Board of Building Regulations and Standards.						
A true copy attest, dated:	August 20, 2007		· 			

Any person aggrieved by a decision of the State Building Code Appeals Board may appeal to a court of competent jurisdiction in accordance with Chapter 30A, Section 14 of the Massachusetts General Laws.